

# **OpenFabrics Alliance**

### Interoperability Logo Group (OFILG) Dec 2011 Logo Event Report

UNH-IOL – 121 Technology Drive, Suite 2 – Durham, NH 03824 - +1-603-862-0090 OpenFabrics Interoperability Logo Group (OFILG) – ofalab@iol.unh.edu

Amit Krig	Date:	16 Mar 2012
Mellanox Technologies, Ltd.	Report Revision:	1.2
Beit Mellanox	OFED Version on Compute Nodes:	1.5.4
Yokneam, Israel 20692	Operating System on Compute Nodes:	SL 6.1

Enclosed are the results from OFA Logo testing performed on the following devices under test (DUTs): *Mellanox IS-5030* 

The test suite referenced in this report is available at the IOL website. Release 1.4 (2011-Oct-25) was used. http://www.iol.unh.edu/services/testing/ofa/testsuites/OFA-IWG Interoperability Test Plan-v1.40.pdf

The logo document referenced in this report is available at the IOL website. Release 1.14 (2011-Mar-01) was used. http://www.iol.unh.edu/services/testing/ofa/logoprogram/OFA-UNH-IOL Logo Program-v1.14.pdf

The Following Table highlights the Mandatory test results required for the OpenFabrics Interoperability Logo for the DUT per the Test Plan referenced above and the current OpenFabrics Interoperability Logo Program (OFILP).

Additional beta testing was performed using the DUT than is reflected in this report. A separate report will outline those results.

Test Procedures	IWG Test Status	Result/Notes
10.1: Link Initialization	Mandatory	PASS
10.2: IB Fabric Initialization	Mandatory	PASS
10.3: IPoIB Connected Mode	Mandatory	PASS
10:4: IPoIB Datagram Mode	Mandatory	PASS
10.5: SM Failover and Handover	Mandatory	PASS
<u>10.6: SRP</u>	Mandatory	PASS
<u>12.1: TI iSER</u>	Mandatory	Not Available
12.2: TI NFS over RDMA	Mandatory	PASS
<u>12.3: TI RDS</u>	Mandatory	PASS
<u>12.4: TI SDP</u>	Mandatory	PASS
12.5: TI uDAPL	Mandatory	PASS
12.6: TI RDMA Basic Interop	Mandatory	PASS
12.8: TI RDMA Stress	Mandatory	PASS
<u>12.11: TI MPI – Open</u>	Mandatory	PASS
<u>12.12: TI MPI – OSU</u>	Mandatory	PASS

Summary of all results follows on the second page of this report.

For Specific details regarding issues, please see the corresponding test result.

Testing Completed 05 January 2012

Nickolas Wood ndv2@iol.unh.edu Review Completed 16 March 2012

Bob Noseworthy ren@iol.unh.edu

### **Result Summary**

The Following table summarizes all results from the event pertinent to this IB device class

Test Procedures	IWG Test Status	Result/Notes
10.1: Link Initialization	Mandatory	PASS
10.2: IB Fabric Initialization	Mandatory	PASS
10.3: IPolB Connected Mode	Mandatory	PASS
10:4: IPoIB Datagram Mode	Mandatory	PASS
10.5: SM Failover and Handover	Mandatory	PASS
<u>10.6: SRP</u>	Mandatory	PASS
10.7: Ethernet Gateway	Beta	Not Tested
10.8: FibreChannel Gateway	Beta	Not Tested
12.1: TI iSER	Mandatory	Not Available
12.2: TI NFS over RDMA	Mandatory	PASS
<u>12.3: TI RDS</u>	Mandatory	PASS
<u>12.4: TI SDP</u>	Mandatory	PASS
<u>12.5: TI uDAPL</u>	Mandatory	PASS
12.6: TI RDMA Basic Interoperability	Mandatory	PASS
12.8: TI RDMA Stress	Mandatory	PASS
<u>12.10: TI MPI – Intel</u>	Beta	Not Tested
<u>12.11: TI MPI – Open</u>	Mandatory	PASS
<u>12.12: TI MPI – OSU</u>	Mandatory	PASS

# **Digital Signature Information**

This document was signed using an Adobe Digital Signature. A digital signature helps to ensure the authenticity of the document, but only in this digital format. For information on how to verify this document's integrity proceed to the following site:

http://www.iol.unh.edu/certifyDoc/certificates and fingerprints.php

If the document status still indicated "Validity of author NOT confirmed", then please contact the UNH-IOL to confirm the document's authenticity. To further validate the certificate integrity, Adobe 9.0 should report the following fingerprint information:

MD5 Fingerprint: B4 7E 04 FE E8 37 D4 D2 1A EA 93 7E 00 36 11 F3 SHA-1 Fingerprint: 50 E2 CB 10 21 32 33 56 4A FC 10 4F AD 24 6D B3 05 22 7C C0

# **Report Revision History**

- v1.0 Initial working copy
- v1.1 Revised working copy
- v1.2 Post arbitration resolution update

# **Configuration Files**

Description	Attachment
Scientific Linux 6.1 Configuration File	Q
OFED 1.5.4 Configuration File	<u>l</u>


# **Result Key**

The following table contains possible results and their meanings:

Result:	Description:	
PASS	The Device Under Test (DUT) was observed to exhibit conformant behavior.	
PASS with	The DUT was observed to exhibit conformant behavior however an additional	
Comments	explanation of the situation is included.	
FAIL	The DUT was observed to exhibit non-conformant behavior.	
Warning	The DUT was observed to exhibit behavior that is not recommended.	
Informative	Results are for informative purposes only and are not judged on a pass or fail basis.	
Refer to Comments	, , ,	
	explanation of the situation is included.	
Not Applicable	The DUT does not support the technology required to perform this test.	
Not Available	Due to testing station limitations or time limitations, the tests could not be performed.	
Borderline	The observed values of the specific parameters are valid at one extreme and invalid at	
	the other.	
Not Tested	Not tested due to the time constraints of the test period.	

# **DUT and Test Setup Information**

Figure 1: The IB fabric configuration utilized for any tests requiring a multi-switch configuration is shown below.



DUT #1 Details			
Manufacturer:	Mellanox	Firmware Revision:	1.1.2500
Model:	IS-5030	Hardware Revision:	X1
Speed:	QDR	Located in Host:	NA
Firmware MD5sum:	13b16cfc6324fd46ebb735ecbff783eb		
Additional Comments / Notes:			

# **Mandatory Tests – IB Device Test Results:**

#### 10.1: Link Initialization

Results	
Part #1:	PASS
Discussion:	
All links astablished with the DUT were of the proper link speed and width	

All links established with the DUT were of the proper link speed and width.

Link Partner		IS-5030
QLogic 12200 (Switch) -	QDR	PASS
Mellanox SX6025 (Switch	ר) – FDR	PASS
Mellanox SX6036 (Switch	ר) – FDR	PASS
Mellanox IS-5030 (Switcl	n) – QDR	NA
Mellanox Bridge-X (Gate	way) – QDR	PASS
DataDirect Networks SFA	A10000 (SRP Target) – QDR	PASS
DataDirect Networks S2/	A9900 (SRP Target) – DDR	PASS
NetApp Pikes Peak (SRP Target) – QDR		PASS
NetApp XBB2 (SRP Targe	t) – DDR	PASS
Host: Themis	HCA: MHQH29C-XTR (QDR)	PASS
Host: Pan	HCA: MHQH19B-XTR (QDR)	PASS
Host: Hati	HCA: MCX353A-FCBT (FDR)	PASS
Host: Titan	HCA: MCX354A-FCBT (FDR)	PASS

#### **10.2: Fabric Initialization**

		Subnet Manager		
OpenSM	IS-5030 SM	SX-6036 SM	QL12200 SM	WinOF SM
PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
Result Discussion:				
All subnet managers topology.	s used while testing w	ith OFED 1.5.4 were a	able to correctly config	gure the selected

#### 10.3: IPoIB Connected Mode

			Subnet Manager		
Part	OpenSM	IS-5030 SM	SX-6036 SM	QL12200 SM	WinOF SM
A – ping	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
B – SFTP	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
C – SCP	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
Result Discussion:					
IPoIB ping, SFTP, and SCP transactions completed successfully between all HCAs; each HCA acted as both a client and a server for all tests.					

#### 10.4: IPoIB Datagram Mode

			Subnet Manager		
Part	OpenSM	IS-5030 SM	SX-6036 SM	QL12200 SM	WinOF SM
A – ping	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
B – SFTP	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
C – SCP	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
Result Discussion:					
IPoIB ping, SFTP, and SCP transactions completed successfully between all HCAs; each HCA acted as both a client and a server for all tests.					

#### 10.5: SM Failover and Handover

SM Pairings		Result
OpenSM OFED 1.5.4	OpenSM OFED 1.5.4	PASS
Result Discussion:		
OpenSM was	able to properly hand	le SM priority and state rules.

#### 10.6: SRP

Subnet Manager						
OpenSM	IS-5030 SM	SX-6036 SM	QL12200 SM	WinOF SM		
PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
<b>Result Discussion:</b>						
SRP communications between all HCAs and all SRP targets succeeded while the above mentioned SMs were in control of the fabric.						

#### 12.1 TI iSER

Subnet Manager						
OpenSM	IS-5030 SM	SX-6036 SM	QL12200 SM	WinOF SM		
Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested		
Result Discussion:						
This test was not performed as there are no devices that support the iSER test procedure present in						
event topology.						

#### 12.2: TI NFS over RDMA

Subnet Manager						
OpenSM	IS-5030 SM	SX-6036 SM	QL12200 SM	WinOF SM		
PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
<b>Result Discussion:</b>						
Connectathon was used to test NFS over RDMA; each HCA acted as both a client and a server.						

#### 12.3: TI RDS

	Subnet Manager					
Part	OpenSM	IS-5030 SM	SX-6036 SM	QL12200 SM	WinOF SM	
A – ping	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	
B – stress	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	
Result Discussion:						
The reliable datagram socket protocol was tested between all HCAs; all communications completed						

successfully.

#### 12.4: TI SDP

	Subnet Manager				
Part	OpenSM	IS-5030 SM	SX-6036 SM	QL12200 SM	WinOF SM
A – netperf	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
B – SFTP	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
C – SCP	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
Result Discus	ssion:				
All communications using the SDP protocol completed successfully; each HCA acted as both a client and a server for all tests.					

#### 12.5: TI uDAPL

Subnet Manager							
OpenSM	IS-5030 SM	SX-6036 SM	QL12200 SM	WinOF SM			
PASS	PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS						
<b>Result Discussion:</b>							
All communications using DAPL were seen to complete successfully as described in the referenced test plan; each HCA acted as both a client and a server for all tests.							

#### 12.6: TI RDMA Basic Interoperability

Subnet Manager						
OpenSM	IS-5030 SM	SX-6036 SM	QL12200 SM	WinOF SM		
PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
<b>Result Discussion:</b>						
All devices were shown to correctly exchange core RDMA operations across a simple network path under nominal (unstressed) conditions; each HCA acted as both a client and a server for all tests.						

#### 12.8: TI RDMA Stress

Subnet Manager							
OpenSM	IS-5030 SM	SX-6036 SM	QL12200 SM	WinOF SM			
PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS			
<b>Result Discussion:</b>							
control communicat	All IB switches were seen to properly handle a large load as indicated by the successfully completion of control communications between two HCAs while all other HCAs in the fabric were used to generate traffic in order to put a high load on the switch. Each HCA aced as both a client and a server for the						

#### 12.11: TI MPI – Open

	Subnet Manager					
Part	OpenSM	IS-5030 SM	SX-6036 SM	QL12200 SM	WinOF SM	
Α	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	
В	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	
Result Discussion:						
Complete heterogeneity: 1 MPI process per OFED 1.5.4 deployed system as described in the cluster						

Complete heterogeneity; 1 MPI process per OFED 1.5.4 deployed system as described in the cluster topology (red and purple system icons), IB device vendor agnostic.

#### 12.12: TI MPI – OSU

	Subnet Manager						
Part	OpenSM	IS-5030 SM	SX-6036 SM	QL12200 SM	WinOF SM		
Α	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
В	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
Result	Result Discussion:						
Complete heterogeneity; 1 MPI process per OFED 1.5.4 deployed system as described in the cluster topology (red and purple system icons), IB device vendor agnostic.							

### **Beta Tests – IB Device Test Results:**

#### 10.7: IB Ethernet Gateway

Subnet Manager						
OpenSM	IS-5030 SM	SX-6036 SM	QL12200 SM	WinOF SM		
Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested		
Result Discussion:						
This test was not performed as there are no devices that support the Ethernet Gateway test procedure present in event topology.						

#### **10.8 IB FibreChannel Gateway**

Subnet Manager						
OpenSM	IS-5030 SM	SX-6036 SM	QL12200 SM	WinOF SM		
Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested		
<b>Result Discussion:</b>						
This test was not performed as there are no devices that support the FibreChannel Gateway test procedure present in event topology.						

#### 12.10: MPI – Intel

Subnet Manager				
OpenSM	IS-5030 SM	SX-6036 SM	QL12200 SM	WinOF SM
Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested
Result Discussion:				
This test was not performed as the binaries for Intel MPI are not present on the compute nodes present in event topology.				